

OPP-ZOOZ-0231 lirnold

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE DEPARTMENTS OF AGRICULTURE

1156 15TH STREET, N.W. Suite 1020 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 296-9680 • (202) 296-9686 FAX nasda@patriot.net (e-mail) http://www.nasda-ba.ore/

Richard W. Kirchhoff Executive Vice President & Chief Executive Officer

February 25, 1997

President John E. Tarburton Delaware

President-Elect D. Leslie Tindal South Carolina

Vice President Keith Kelly Arizona

Secretary-Treasurer Becky Döyle Illinois

Past President Alan T Tracy Wisconsin

At-Large Bruce Andrews Oregon

Northeastern Region Shirley Ferris Connécticut

Soutbern Region Lewis R. Riley Maryland

Midwestern Region Larry E. Sitzman Nebraska

Western Region Ann M. Veneman California



McCormick Place Chicago, IL USA

The Honorable Lynn R. Goldman Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides & Toxic Substances U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, SW Mail Code - 7101 Washington, DC 20460

Dear Madam Administrator:

As we advised you on December 13, 1996, the National Association of State Departments of Agriculture (NASDA) and the Association of American Pesticide Control Officials (AAPCO) formed a joint task force to review the situation surrounding section 18 emergency exemptions under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). The task force has concluded its work and its report has been approved by the full NASDA membership and the AAPCO Board of Directors. We commend the report to you and other agency officials who are preparing the proposed changes to the section 18 process.

In November, 1996, the agency held a two-day workshop on the section 18 process. While a number of activities designed to improve the section 18 process began over a year ago, the passage of the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) of 1996 introduced a number of new complexities to the dialogue.

During the 1996 workshop, EPA sought input from stakeholders on how the process should be changed to better serve the needs of the states and producers, and discussed the provisions of the FQPA which will require a time-limited tolerance for certain section 18 requests. At the conclusion of the workshop, agency officials asked stakeholders to provide EPA with additional assistance as a proposed rule was drafted. To fulfill that request, NASDA and AAPCO appointed the task force referred to above to provide the agency with the position of the states on the section 18 process and situation. You should read the attached report as comments to a notice of advanced rulemaking, had the agency published one.

We strongly believe that the section 18 process cannot be dealt with in a piece-meal fashion. In our opinion, the agency should not publish a proposed rule that deals only with the timelimited tolerance requirement. The proposed rule must be all encompassing, addressing the time-limited tolerance requirement, resistance management, multi-year exemptions and delegation of authority to state, the criteria for significant economic loss, exemptions based on reduced risk, and monitoring requirements.

- The Honorable Lynn R. Goldman February 25, 1997 Page 2

As your partners in the regulation of pesticides to protect public health and the environment, we appreciate the opportunity to share the thoughts of the states regarding the section 18 process.

Sincerely,

Tommy Irvin

Chairman, NASDA Pesticide

Regulation Committee Commissioner,

Georgia Department

of Agriculture

John L. Smith

President, AAPCO

Administrator, Pesticide Section, Food and Drug Protection Division, North

Carolina Department of Agriculture

cc: The Honorable Richard Rominger

The Honorable Richard G. Lugar

The Honorable Tom Harkin

The Honorable Robert F. Smith

The Honorable Charles W. Stenholm

The Honorable Bob Goodlatte

The Honorable Eva Clayton

The Honorable Thomas J. Bliley, Jr.